

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/694,079	10/20/2000	JON DAKSS	WMI-004 (8415/4)	7895	
23363 7	23363 7590 08/10/2005			EXAMINER	
CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP PO BOX 7068			KOENIG, ANDREW Y		
	, CA 91109-7068		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
===3,			2611		

DATE MAILED: 08/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/694,079	DAKSS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Andrew Y. Koenig	2611				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>11 May 2005</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 26-28,33-43 and 49-74 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 26-28,33-43 and 49-74 is/are rejected 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)	»□····-					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Ll Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 26-28, 33-43, and 49-74 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 26, 36, 40, 66, and 67 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 26 recites the limitation "said timing information" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. For the rest of this Office Action, "said timing information" will be treated as "said timing data."

Claim 36 recites the limitation "said mask data" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. For the rest of this Office Action, "said mask data" will be treated as "mask data."

Claim 40 recites the limitation "said mask data" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. For the rest of this Office Action, "said mask data" will be treated as "mask data."

Claim 66 recites the limitation "said annotation data" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. For the rest of this Office Action, "said annotation data" will be treated as "annotation data."

Claim 67 recites the limitation "said image overlay data" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. For the rest of this Office

Action, "said image overlay data" will be treated as "said data for overlaying a graphics image."

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 26-28, 33-43, 49-56, and 58-63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 6,615,408 to Kaiser et al. (Kaiser) in view of U.S. Patent 6,496,981 to Wistendahl et al. (Wistendahl).

Regarding claim 28, Kaiser teaches a reproduction apparatus (fig. 1, label 1300) in communication with a broadcast channel (col. 4, II. 59-67; col. 5, II. 22-31), a display (fig. 1, label 1200) (claimed display device). Kaiser teaches a receiver decoding a digital signal to recover a video signal (such as high definition television formats, see col. 5, II. 30) and trigger/placement zones (claimed annotation data) (fig. 2). Kaiser teaches a placement zone comprising the one or more frames comprising an image referencing a product data (col. 6, II. 19-23); Kaiser receives the overlay of a graphic on a video frame from accessing a data network 1400 (col. 8, II. 14-23), Kaiser is silent on the graphics data being included in the broadcast signal.

Art Unit: 2611

In analogous art, Wistendahl teaches inserting the N data (for mapping the locations of the hot spots – see col. 6, II. 27-31, col. 6, II. 42-59) along with the media content (col. 3, II. 32-45, col. 7, II. 28-52), which equates to the graphics data being included in the broadcast signal.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Kaiser by having graphics data being included in the broadcast signal as taught by Wistendahl in order to efficiently enable the user to access additional services along with receiving media.

Kaiser teaches placement zones, which can be viewed over one or more frames (col. 6, II. 19-23), fails to explicitly disclose drawing the graphics images in response to a viewer request and the drawing of the graphic image being synchronized to the underlying frame based on timing data.

In analogous art, Wistendahl teaches drawing halos H (see fig. 7a) by highlighting the hotspots (col. 15, II. 1-6, col. 15, II. 54-56), which equates to in response to a viewer request, wherein the hot spots are viewed over one or more frames and the graphic image is synchronized to the underlying frame based on timing data of the graphic image, in that the hot spot is for a given frame and each frame has a unique time position in the sequence (col. 5, II. 67-3, col. 6, II. 42-46).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Kaiser by drawing the graphics images in response to a viewer request and the drawing of the graphic image being synchronized to the underlying frame based on timing data as taught by Wistendahl in order to

provide for synchronization of the overlays over a plurality of frames, thereby increasing the effectiveness of the hotspot by properly following the image.

Regarding claim 26, the combination of Kaiser and Wistendahl teaches timing information comprising one of a timestamp, timecode, frame numbering, or global time of day (Wistendahl: col. 5-6, II. 66-3, col. 6, II. 27-31, col. 6, II. 60-63).

Regarding claim 27, Kaiser clearly synchronizes the placement zones and the video in response to timing information in order to place the placement zones over the proper location, such as a car as shown in figures 6A-6D, see also Wistendahl: col. 5-6, ll. 66-3, col. 6, ll. 27-31, col. 6, ll. 60-63.

Regarding claim 33, Kaiser teaches product purchase actions (col. 12, II. 29-54), which reads on information regarding goods and services.

Regarding claim 34, the combination of Kaiser and Wistendahl teaches annotation data comprising a movie trivia information, which reads on a non-commercial information (Wistendahl: col. 9, II. 28-39)

Regarding claim 35 and 36, the combination of Kaiser and Wistendahl teaches a placement zone which references a product being displayed, wherein the placement

zone is a location of an object (Wistendahl: col. 5-6, II. 66-3, col. 6, II. 27-31, col. 6, II. 60-63).

Regarding claims 37-39, Kaiser teaches placement zones for various frames (fig. 2, col. 6, II. 9-17), wherein the placement can track an image at the upper left corner (col. 10, II. 34-38). Whereas Kaiser is silent on a location reference at the centroid pixel, Official Notice is taken that a center position is well known in the art, such as tracking an object by the center position. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Kaiser by using a center position (as a reference point) in order to properly track an image thereby increasing the effectiveness of the placement zone.

Regarding claims 40-42, Kaiser teaches location and shape information such as the shape and location of the car (col. 10, II. 34-38), see figures 6A-6D, wherein the visual highlight (fig. 6B, label 6500) is a graphical overlay and has an outline of the car.

Regarding claim 43, Kaiser is silent on a mathematical representation of set of pixels. Official Notice is taken that mathematical representation of pixels are well known in the art, such as vectors used in video encoding in order to reduce the bandwidth by reducing duplicity of the pixels. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Kaiser by using a

mathematical representation in order to save bandwidth and process the information at the client side.

Regarding claim 49, Kaiser teaches a back channel (fig. 1, label 1400-data network).

Regarding claim 50, Kaiser teaches product selection or information (col. 3, II. 3-5), collecting user information (col. 14, II. 3-21), and accepting information regarding a commercial transaction and completing the transaction (fig. 9).

Regarding claim 51, Kaiser performs a 'secure transaction verification' procedure (fig. 9, label 9300), which in the broadest reasonable sense has some information regarding a viewer identifier in order to identify the viewer.

Regarding claim 52, Kaiser teaches plural annotations, which as discussed in claim 28 have equal timing. Further, Kaiser teaches that the plurality of annotations would be different in that the system will need to differentiate among plural annotations in that they will have different masks and provide different information.

Regarding claim 53, Kaiser teaches a reproducing apparatus (fig. 1, label 1300), which reads on a receiver that receives video (col. 5, II. 10-31) and action resource data (claimed annotation data) (col. 5-6, II. 55-8), wherein the action resource data includes

overlay information as shown in figure 6B (col. 10, II. 9-41), selectable actions (claimed object data appearing on a portion of the video) (fig. 6A-6D), and timing information (fig. 2, col. 6, II. 9-64). Whereas Kaiser does not explicitly teach a data store storing at least a portion of the received annotation data, the system inherently stores a portion of the data in buffers, memory, or processor registers in order to process the received information.

Kaiser teaches placement zones, which can be viewed over one or more frames (col. 6, II. 19-23), fails to explicitly disclose drawing the graphics images in response to a viewer request and the drawing of the graphic image being synchronized to the underlying frame based on timing data.

In analogous art, Wistendahl teaches drawing halos H (see fig. 7a) by highlighting the hotspots (col. 15, II. 1-6, col. 15, II. 54-56), wherein the hot spots are viewed over one or more frames and the graphic image is synchronized to the underlying frame based on timing data of the graphic image, in that the hot spot is for a given frame and each frame has a unique time position in the sequence (col. 5, II. 67-3, col. 6, II. 42-46).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Kaiser by drawing the graphics images in response to a viewer request and the drawing of the graphic image being synchronized to the underlying frame based on timing data as taught by Wistendahl in order to provide for synchronization of the overlays over a plurality of frames, thereby increasing the effectiveness of the hotspot by properly following the image.

Art Unit: 2611

Regarding claim 54, as shown in figure 6B, Kaiser visually identifies the object.

Regarding claim 55, as shown in figure 6B, Kaiser teaches the location of the object.

Regarding claim 56, as shown in figure 6B, Kaiser teaches shape information.

Regarding claim 58, Kaiser teaches in-band techniques for receiving annotation data (col. 5, II. 45-54, col. 6-7, II. 65-4).

Regarding claim 59, Kaiser teaches annotation data over a broadcast transmission with the video stream (col. 5, II. 45-54).

Regarding claim 60, Kaiser teaches sending the annotation data over a broadcast transmission with the video stream (col. 5, II. 45-54), which clearly would be received prior to the video stream in order to synchronize the display of the annotation data.

Regarding claim 61, Kaiser teaches sending the data over a separate transmission medium from the video (col. 5, Il. 45-54), which equates to an out of band signal.

Regarding claim 62, Kaiser teaches sending the data over a data communication network (col. 5, II. 45-54).

Regarding claim 63, Kaiser teaches permitting the user to engage in purchasing an item (col. 12, II. 29-65).

5. Claim 57 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 6,615,408 to Kaiser et al. and U.S. Patent 6,496,981 to Wistendahl et al. (Wistendahl) in view of U.S. Patent 6,415,438 to Blackketter et al.

Regarding claim 57, Kaiser teaches displaying the annotations, but both Kaiser and Wistendahl are silent on second timing information for removing the annotation data from the data store. Blackketter teaches expiring triggers (col. 3, II. 13-22, col. 10, II. 24-35). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Kaiser and Wistendahl by indicating an expiration time in order to ignore invalid triggers (Blackketter: col. 10, II. 24-35).

6. Claim 64-67, 71, 72, and 74 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 6,496,981 to Wistendahl et al. (Wistendahl) in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication 2001/0023436 to Srinivasan et al. (Srinivasan).

Art Unit: 2611

Wistendahl teaches a video source (fig. 1,2) and a converter for converting the media into a digital format of frames (col. 5-6, Il. 60-2). Wistendahl teaches, as shown in figure 2, an N data defining hot spots (col. 6, II. 60-63), which is derived from an annotation source providing graphics data for overlaying a graphics image on a video frame (col. 6, II. 27-31, col. 6, II. 42-46), wherein the hot spots are associated with a frame of the video, which reads on a data packet stream generator. Wistendahl teaches a server for transmitting the frame data (broadcast signal) and the N data (annotation data for the data packet stream generator) (col. 7, II. 42-45). Wistendahl teaches a converter (fig. 1, 2, col. 5-6, II. 60-2) providing timing information for the frames to the data packet stream generator in order to enable the data packet stream generator to associated the timing information to the graphical hot spots (col. 6, Il. 27-31, col. 6, II. 42-59, col. 6, II. 60-64). After formatting the data, the server transmits the data to the receiver (col. 7, II. 42-45). At the receiver, Wistendahl teaches drawing halos H (see fig. 7a) by highlighting the hotspots (col. 15, II. 1-6, col. 15, II. 54-56), wherein the hot spots are viewed over one or more frames and the graphic image is synchronized to the underlying frame based on timing data of the graphic image, in that the hot spot is for a given frame and each frame has a unique time position in the sequence (col. 5, II. 67-3, col. 6, II. 42-46).

Wistendahl teaches a video source (fig. 1,2) and a converter for converting the media into a digital format of frames (col. 5-6, II. 60-2) and Wistendahl recognizes that the system can be used with MPEG-2 video, such as used on DVDs (col. 16, II. 42-65),

but Wistendahl is silent on the video encoder producing a transport stream. Official Notice is taken that the use of an encoding video into a transport stream is well known in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Wistendahl by encoding video into a transport stream in order to compress a digital signal by reducing the redundant information thereby reducing the bandwidth of a signal.

Wistendahl teaches a separate (logical or physical) storage location for the frame data and the N data (col. 6, II. 39-59), but is silent on a data packet stream generator producing encoded data packets. Further, Wistendahl teaches a server for transmitting the frame data (broadcast signal) and the N data (annotation data for the data packet stream generator) (col. 7, II. 42-45), but is silent on a multiplexer.

In analogous art, Srinivasan teaches a data packet stream generator producing encoded data packets creates metadata from the frame number or numbers (PTS), where the PTS is the presentation time stamp of a digital signal (pg. 19, para. 0222), wherein the PTS is associated with the metadata (pg. 21, para. 0233), which equates to encoding data packets. Additionally, Srinivasan teaches multiplexing the metadata with the video content (pg. 20, para. 0224). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Wistendahl by producing encoded data packets using a data packet stream generator and multiplexing the video content and metadata as taught by Srinivasan in order to provide a digital synchronization means utilizing the existing structure of the digital signal and efficiently providing both the metadata and video to the user.

Art Unit: 2611

Regarding claim 65, the combination of Wistendahl and Srinivasan teaches timing information comprising one of a timestamp, timecode, frame numbering, or global time of day (Wistendahl: col. 5-6, II. 66-3, col. 6, II. 27-31, col. 6, II. 60-63).

Regarding claim 66 and 67, the combination of Wistendahl and Srinivasan teaches a placement zone which references a product being displayed, wherein the placement zone is a location of an object (Wistendahl: col. 5-6, II. 66-3, col. 6, II. 27-31, col. 6, II. 60-63).

Regarding claims 71-72, the combination of Wistendahl and Srinivasan has been explained above. Wistendahl teaches location and shape information of an overlay which can be highlighted (col. 6, II. 27-31, col. 6, II. 60-64, col. 15, II. 4-7, col. 15, II. 53-56).

Regarding claim 74, Wistendahl and Srinivasan are silent on a mathematical representation of set of pixels. Official Notice is taken that mathematical representation of pixels are well known in the art, such as vectors used in video encoding in order to reduce the bandwidth by reducing duplicity of the pixels. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Wistendahl and Srinivasan by using a mathematical representation in order to save bandwidth and process the information at the client side.

7. Claims 68-70, and 73 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 6,496,981 to Wistendahl et al. (Wistendahl) and U.S. Patent Application Publication 2001/0023436 to Srinivasan et al. (Srinivasan) in view of U.S. Patent 6,615,408 to Kaiser et al.

Regarding claims 68 and 69, the combination of Wistendahl and Srinivasan has been explained above. However, Wistendahl and Srinivasan are silent on a reference that represents a fixed relation to pixels, wherein the pixel is the upper left most pixel. In analogous art, Kaiser teaches placement zones for various frames (fig. 2, col. 6, II. 9-17), wherein the placement can track an image at the upper left corner (col. 10, II. 34-38), which equates to a reference that represents a fixed relation to pixels, wherein the pixel is the upper left most pixel. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Wistendahl and Srinivasan on a reference that represents a fixed relation to pixels, wherein the pixel is the upper left most pixel as taught by Kaiser in order to properly track an image thereby increasing the effectiveness of the placement zone.

Regarding claim 70, Wistendahl, Srinivasan, and Kaiser are silent on a location reference at the centroid pixel, Official Notice is taken that a center position is well known in the art, such as tracking an object by the center position. Therefore, it would

have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Wistendahl, Srinivasan, and Kaiser by using a center position (as a reference point) in order to properly track an image thereby increasing the effectiveness of the placement zone.

Regarding claim 73, the combination of Wistendahl and Srinivasan teaches location and shape information of an overlay which can be highlighted (Wistendahl: col. 6, II. 27-31, col. 6, II. 60-64, col. 15, II. 4-7, col. 15, II. 53-56), but is silent on the an outline of the object. In analogous art, Kaiser teaches location and shape information such as the shape and location of the car (col. 10, II. 34-38), see figures 6A-6D, wherein the visual highlight (fig. 6B, label 6500) is a graphical overlay and has an outline of the car. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Wistendahl and Srinivasan by providing an outline of the object as taught by Kaiser in order to clearly convey to the user which object is of interest.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Y. Koenig whose telephone number is (571) 272-7296. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (7:30 - 6:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Grant can be reached on (571) 272-7294. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ayk